

## **REMARKS**

**[0010]** Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims of the application. The status of the claims is as follows:

- Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-14, 16, 18-24, 26-33, 35, 36, 38 and 39 are currently pending;
- No claims are canceled herein;
- No claims are withdrawn herein;
- Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 11-14, 16, 32-33, 36 and 38-39 are amended herein; and
- No new claims are added herein.

**[0011]** Claims 1, 16, 32-33 and 36 are amended to include subject matter from dependent claim 6.

### **Allowed Claims**

**[0012]** Claim 6 is objected to as depending from a rejected base claim. The Examiner has indicated that this claim would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the features of the base claims from which it depends. The Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication.

**[0013]** Independent claims 1, 16, 32-33 and 36 are re-written to incorporate the subject matter of claim 6. The Applicant respectfully asserts that all claims, as amended herein, are now in condition for allowance.

## **Claim Objections**

**[0014]** Claims 14, 38 and 39 stand objected to as allegedly reciting unclear subject matter. These claims have been amended. The Applicant submits that these objects are now moot and respectfully asks the Examiner to withdraw these objections.

## **Claims 1, 16 and 33 Comply With § 112 First Paragraph**

**[0015]** Claims 1, 16 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

**[0016]** The Examiner asserts that there is no support in the specification for “determining which of the information that is descriptive of the occurrence of the event to put into” a server entry (corresponding to a server entry log) or application entry (corresponding to an application entry log), where the information is “a function of a predetermined level of verbosity selected from a plurality of levels of verbosity for the Web application and server.”

**[0017]** The Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to at least paragraphs [0030] and [0037] for a “predetermined level of verbosity” and related disclosure for support of claim language directed to a “predetermined level of verbosity.”

**[0018]** Further, the Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to at least paragraph [0032] for server entries and application entries. In particular, paragraph [0032] states that “multiple logger streams may be active at one time, typically one for a kernel logger and one for each trace-enabled **application** that is running on the server” (emphasis added). Paragraph [0048] states that a server can “log events that happen into one or

more event trace output files.” Accordingly, the Applicant asserts that there is disclosure of the language currently recited in claims 1, 16 and 33. The Applicant respectfully asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

### **Claims 4, 11-13 and 36 Comply With § 112 2nd Paragraph**

**[0019]** Claims 4, 11-13 and 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for merely reciting “the entry.” The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

**[0020]** These claims have been amended as shown above to clarify “the entry” as either a “server entry” or “application entry.” The Applicant asserts that the rejection of these claims is thereby moot and respectfully asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claims 4, 11-13 and 36 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph.

### **Cited Documents**

**[0021]** The following documents have been applied to reject one or more claims of the Application:

- **Tracing Overview:** Microsoft, Tracing Overview, copyright 2002, pp. 1-8.
- **Schaefer:** Schaefer, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,157,927
- **Log Explorer:** “Log Explorer Walkthrough”, retrieved on April 16, 2007, at <<<http://web.archive.org/web/20020804201507/www.lumigent.com/LogExplorer/walk/walkthrough.htm>>>, Lumigent Technologies, pp 20.

**Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-10, 13, 14, 32, 36, 38 and 39 Are Non-Obvious Over Tracing Overview in view of Schaefer**

**[0022]** Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-10, 13, 14, 32, 36, 38 and 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Microsoft in view of Schaefer. The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of these claims.

**[0023]** Independent claims 1, 16, 32, 33 and 36 have been amended to recite the subject matter of claim 6. Claim 6 was found to include allowable subject matter (Office Action dated April 6, 2009, p. 7). Consequently, the Applicant asserts that these claims, and all claims respectively dependent therefrom, now recite allowable subject matter and are now in condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of these claims.

**Claims 11, 12, 16, 18-24, 26-31, 33 and 35 Are Non-Obvious Over Microsoft in view of Schaefer, further in view of LogExplorer**

**[0024]** Claims 11, 12, 16, 18-24, 26-31, 33 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Tracing Overview in view of Schaefer, further in view of LogExplorer. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

**[0025]** Independent claims 1, 16, 32, 33 and 36 have been amended to recite the subject matter of claim 6. Claim 6 was found to include allowable subject matter (Office Action dated April 6, 2009, p. 7). Consequently, the Applicant asserts that these claims, and all claims respectively dependent therefrom, now recite allowable subject matter and are now in condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully asks the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claims 11, 12, 16, 18-24, 26-31, 33 and 35.

## **Conclusion**

**[0026]** The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned representative for the Applicant before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC  
Representative for Applicant

/JOHN CHANDLER MELINE/  
John C. Meline  
(johnm@leehayes.com; 509-944-4757)  
Registration No. 58,280

Dated: 2009-04-24